

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/542,392 | 04/04/2000 | Fazal Sved Raheman | | 6230 |
| 75 | 90 10/30/2002 | | | |
| Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980 | | | EXAMINER BAYERL, RAYMOND J | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 2173 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 10/20/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. 09/542,392 Raneman | |
|---|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Examiner Group Art Unit | |
| | RBayer 2173 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— | |
| Period for Response | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | S SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE | |
| from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) de- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by | FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHays, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 5 June 2000 | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 | ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| \times Claim(s) $21-248$ | is/are pending in the application. | |
| | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | |
| ▼ Claim(s) 2 -248 | are subject to restriction or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | · | |
| | | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav | • | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is □ approved □ disapproved. | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob | is □ approved □ disapproved. | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | is □ approved □ disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is □ approved □ disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner. | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | is □ approved □ disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. | is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies | is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). | |
| □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved disapproved. ijected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). | |

Serial Number: 09/542,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I--A graphical user interface presents an output derived from a real-time media signal (US Cl. 345/716; see fig 1), claims 45, 46, 48, 49, 56, 86, 87, 89, 90, 97, 129, 130, 132, 133, 140, 200, 201, 203, 204, 211;

II--A substrate area of a GUI is used to support collaborative interactions between users (US Cl. 345/751; see page 13, lines 23 - 27), claims 53, 54, 57, 94, 95, 98, 137, 138, 141, 208, 209, 212;

III--A message appears within a user interface that corresponds to an advertising sponsor (US Cl. 345/717; see fig 3), claims 44, 64, 85, 105, 128, 146, 176, 177, 199, 219;

IV--Content of a particular (e.g., journalistic) origin is placed within an interface having windows (US Cl. 345/718; see page 13, lines 28 - 30), claims 50 - 52, 55, 91 - 93, 96, 134 - 136, 139, 205 - 207, 210;

V--The user interface is provided to access a networked environment such as the internet (US Cl. 345/748; see fig 2), claims 42, 43, 60, 61, 83, 84, 101, 102, 126, 127, 142, 143, 151

Serial Number: 09/542,392 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

- 153, 157, 168 - 174, 197, 198, 215, 216, 221 - 223, 227, 238 - 246, 248;

VI--A network-based interface (species V) also incorporates species I functionality, claims 155, 156, 158, 159, 166, 225, 226, 228, 229, 236;

VII--A species V GUI with species II's collaborative capability, claims 163, 164, 167, 233, 234, 237;

VIII--The sponsoring feature of species III is included within the species V network interface, 154, 224, 247;

IX--Species IV's content is specifically presented by the interface of species V, claims 160 - 162, 165, 230 - 232, 235.

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 21 41, 47, 58, 59, 62, 63, 65 82, 88, 99, 100, 103, 104, 106 125, 131, 144, 145, 147, 148 150, 175, 178 196, 202, 213, 214, 217, 218, 220, which concern an operator interface per se, are generic.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Serial Number: 09/542,392 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J.

Serial Number: 09/542,392

Art Unit: 2173

Bayerl whose telephone number is (703) 305-9789. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F from 9:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239 for Official submissions, (703) 746-7238 for filings after final rejection and (703) 746-7240 for non-official communications.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173 23 October 2002

Page 5